

# Searches for UHE neutrinos and upward-going showers with the Pierre Auger Observatory

Jaime Alvarez-Muñiz for the Pierre Auger Collaboration

UHECR 2024 Symposium Malargüe, Mendoza (Argentina) 17 – 21 Nov. 2024



















#### The Pierre Auger Observatory Phase I data taking configuration 2004 – 2021

Malargüe, Mendoza (Argentina)



#### This talk:

## Search for UHE neutrinos

Data 2004 - 2021 Surface detector SD1500 1665 water-Cherenkov stations 1.5 km grid. Area 3000 km<sup>2</sup>

Search for upwardgoing showers Data 2004 - 2018 4 Fluorescence sites 24 telescopes, 1-30° FoV 3 High-Elevation, 30-60° FoV

Pierre Auger, NIMA **798** (2015) 172–213

## Search for UHE neutrinos

## Search for neutrinos with the Pierre Auger Surface Detector

Pierre Auger JCAP **10** (2019) 022

M. Niechciol PoS (ICRC2023)



- Protons & nuclei at high inclination angles initiate showers high in atmosphere
- ⇒ Shower front at ground mainly composed of **muons** (electromag. component absorbed in atmosphere)
- $\Rightarrow$  Small relative time delays

Neutrinos can initiate inclined showers close to ground

- ⇒ Shower front at ground consists of electromag. + muonic components
- ⇒ Large relative time delays of electromagnetic particles

Neutrino signature  $\rightarrow$  inclined showers ( $\theta > 60^{\circ}$ ) developing close to ground

## Selection of inclined showers

## Identifying neutrinos

electromagnetic component induces extended signals in Elongated footprint of shower on ground time traces recorded with Water-Cherenkov detectors  $\begin{bmatrix} 70 \\ mx \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{\hat{h}} \quad 65$ Traces of individual stations 60 10 Background Inclined event  $\Rightarrow$  signal traces with large 55 values of Area-over-Peak Signal (VEM peak) 50 E ≈ 2.2 EeV (AoP)  $\theta \approx 73.0^{\circ}$  $AoP \approx 0.9$ 60 2 x [km] Definition of Area-over-Peak (AoP) Apparent velocity of propagation of 250 500 750 1000 Surface Detector PMT Signal trigger along major axis (Length) 1.6 Time (ns) 1.6 1.4 Peak Neutrino simulation Horizontal shower Vertical shower 1.4 Signal [VEM] 1.0 0.8 0.0 Area Signal (VEM peak) E = 0.1 EeVAOP =1.2 Peak 1  $\theta = 75^{\circ}$ 0.8 V >> c V ~ c  $AoP \approx 2.9$ 0.6 Area 04 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.0 L 400 200 600 800 1000 0 time [ns] 500 750 1000 0 250 Time (ns) Neutrino identification based on AoP Pierre Auger, Reconstructed  $\theta > 60^{\circ}$  or  $> 75^{\circ}$ 

PRD 91, 092008 (2015)

#### Sensitivity to all neutrino flavours & channels



6

#### **Background (UHECR)** inclined event in data



Numbers on top of each station indicate AoP

<AoP> is the discriminating observable for Earth-Skimming v

#### Monte Carlo simulation Earth-Skimming τ neutrino

AoP

3.5

3.0

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

45

## Data unblinding. Example: Earth-Skimming channel

Distribution of mean Area-over-Peak <AoP> in highly inclined events 10<sup>1</sup> -Data 1 Jan 2004 - 31 Dec 2021. Mean=1.187, Sigma=0.075 Auger SD 1500 data Monte Carlo v: Mean=2.837, Sigma=0.723 1 Jan 04 - 31 Dec 21 10<sup>0</sup> - $\langle AoP \rangle > 1.83$ Normalized entries Monte Carlo sims.  $v_{\tau}$  Earth-Skimming  $10^{-1}$ v-candidate region  $10^{-2}$ ~ 95% v-selection efficiency  $10^{-3}$  -2.5 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 (AoP)

Pierre Auger JCAP **10** (2019) 022

M. Niechciol for Auger PoS(ICRC2023)1488

No candidates found in Earth-Skimming or Downward-going channels 1 Jan 2024 - 31 Dec 2021

- Large neutrino selection efficiency
- Low expected background 1/50 yr
- Sensitivity limited by exposure not limited by background

#### Exposure (1 Jan 2004 – 31 Dec 2021)



#### Upper limits to diffuse flux & event rates in Auger SD 1 Jan 2004 – 31 Dec 2021

- Best sensitivity slightly below 1 EeV
- Auger limits constrain models assuming pure proton primary cosmic beam



M. Niechciol for Auger PoS(ICRC2023)1488

Invited review talk today by O. Deligny on constraints on BSM physics from EAS and from UHE gamma-ray and neutrino searches

#### Search for point-like transient sources of UHEv

Pierre Auger JCAP 11 (2019) 004

IceCube, ApJLett. 835, 151 (2017)

Instantaneous sky coverage of Auger 90  $10^{0}$ Auger FoV Earth-Skimming:  $\theta \in [90^\circ, 95^\circ]$ Auger ES,  $v_{\tau}$ ,  $\theta = 90.5^{\circ}$ 75-Auger FoV:  $\theta \in [75^\circ, 90^\circ]$ Auger ES  $v_{\tau}$ ,  $\theta = 91^{\circ}$ Auger FoV:  $\theta \in [60^\circ, 75^\circ]$ Auger ES  $v_{\tau}$ ,  $\theta = 92^{\circ}$ 60 Auger DGH  $v_e$  CC,  $\theta = 80^\circ$ 45-Auger DGH  $v_e$  CC,  $\theta = 85^\circ$  $10^{-1}$ Auger DGL  $v_e$  CC,  $\theta = 66^\circ$ -30 --0 Declination 2 -0 --0 --0 --0 --30 -Auger DGL  $v_e$  CC,  $\theta = 69^\circ$ € 10<sup>-2</sup>. IceCube  $v_{\mu}$  CC,  $\delta \in [-5^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}]$ IceCube  $v_{ii}$  CC,  $\delta \in [-30^{\circ}, -5^{\circ}]$ area GW170817 Effective 10-3 -45 -60--75- $10^{-4}$ 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  $\alpha - t_{GS}$  (hr) Instantaneous field-of-view covers ~ 30% of the sky: Earth-Skimming (5%) + Donward-going (25%)  $10^{-5}$ 

10<sup>13</sup>

10<sup>14</sup>

10<sup>15</sup>

Auger is sensitive to potential sources of UHE neutrinos between close to South Celestial Pole to + 60 deg North => there is always a time window during a sidereal day in which source is in FoV



10<sup>'20</sup>

Large peak effective areas, close to 1 km<sup>2</sup>

 $E_{\nu}$  (eV)

10<sup>'17</sup>

 $10^{18}$ 

10<sup>19</sup>

 $10^{16}$ 

#### Follow-up of GW170817 in neutrinos Binary Neutron Star Merger + short GRB

ANTARES, IceCube, Auger, LIGO & Virgo Astrophys. J. Lett. **850**, L35 (2017)



The NS-NS merger was in an **optimal position** at the instant of GW emission for the detection of UHE tau neutrinos with Auger

Neutrino limits based on non-observation in ± 500 sec & +14 days time-windows

GW170817 Neutrino limits (fluence per flavor:  $\nu_x + \overline{\nu}_x$ )



Lack of neutrino detection consistent with expectations from short GRB viewed at large off-axis angle  $\gtrsim 20^{\circ}$ 

## Multimessenger activities with Auger

#### Follow-up of 83 BBH mergers detected in GW by Ligo-Virgo

No candidate neutrinos from any of the BBH mergers
Stacking limit => ratio of energy emitted in UHE neutrinos to that emitted in GWs < 5%</li>

Fractional contribution of each BBH to statcking limit



BBH GW151226 + GW170608 + GW191226 alone contribute  $\sim$  28% to the total stacking limit

#### Follow-up of TXS 0506+056 blazar

- **22 Sep. 2017** High-Energy v discovered by IceCube coincident with gamma-ray blazar
- No candidate neutrinos from direction of TXS @ EeV in Auger
- First upper limits to UHE neutrino (& photon) flux from an identified neutrino source



## Search for Upward-going showers







## ANITA anomalous events & Auger FD

2 anomalous events at elevation angles: -27.4° & -35°

#### Standard Model (SM) origin:

- Tau showers from neutrino interactions? Discarded
- Other radiation mechanisms (TR air/ice or ice/air)? Discarded

Beyond SM (BSM) origin?

#### ⇒ Search for upcoming showers with Auger

If "anomalous" events are from air showers the Fluorescence Detector could also observe upward-going showers  $\Rightarrow$ use FD data 1 Jan 2004 – 31 Dec 2018  $\theta_{rec} > 110^{\circ}$ 



## Signal: Upward-going Monte Carlo event

20

30

10

slant depth [g/cm<sup>2</sup>]

azimuth [deg]



elevation [deg]

30

25

20

15

10

5

0 -





60

50

40

#### Main challenge of analysis:

In absence of any signal from the Surface Detector, i.e. using only the time sequence and profile:

- UHECR downward-going events with specific geometries, can be reconstructed as upwardgoing => background
- some events even admit an upward- and downward-going reconstruction simultaneously

## Potential background real event

#### Background Monte Carlo event



0

## Discriminating upward-going showers

 $\ell_{\rm c} = 0.55$ 

cut value <u>l</u>c

burnt data

background simul. signal simulation

0.9

0.8

full data

signal

region

PRELIMINARY

10<sup>2</sup>

10

0-

10<sup>-2</sup>

0

0.1

0.2

Number of Events / bin

 $\frac{dN}{dE}$ -CR spectrum

 $\propto E^3$ -arbritary normalization

0.3

0.4

0.5

Discrimination Variable l

After all cuts to reject background:

some events admit both upward- & downward-going reconstructions





$$L_{up} > L_{down} => \ell \in [0,1]$$
$$L_{up} \text{ only }=> \ell = 1$$

Cut value at  $\ell_c$ = 0.55 optimized minimizing MC background & maximizing sensitivity

0.6

0.7

Pierre Auger submitted to PRL 2024

## Discriminating upward-going showers



Pierre Auger submitted to PRL 2024

#### PRELIMINARY

## Auger FD vs ANITA exposure

Exposure as a function of shower energy and height of shower injection

Pierre Auger submitted to PRL 2024



Auger FD exposure:  $\theta \in [110^\circ, 130^\circ]$  is 2 - 2000 larger than ANITA-III (I) exposure:  $\theta \in [110^\circ, 130^\circ]$ 

Normalizing several  $E^{-2} \rightarrow E^{-5}$  spectra to 1 event in ANITA I or III => 8  $\rightarrow$  69 events expected in Auger, while only 1 event compatible with background has been observed

> Auger non-observation of upward-going showers effectively dismisses the interpretation of the anomalous events as upward-going showers

## Conclusions

 $\mathsf{UHEv}$  have been searched with the Surface Detector of the Pierre Auger Observatory

- No candidates found 1 Jan 2004 31 Dec 2021
- Competitive limits to diffuse fluxes, most sensitive around 1 EeV
  - Pure proton cosmogenic neutrino models constrained
- Large instantaneous sensitivity to bursting sources in Earth-Skimming FoV
- Auger is a key actor in Multimessenger Astronomy at UHE
  - Follow-up of BNS, BBH, flaring blazars, etc...

Searches for upward-going showers with the Fluorescence Detector of the Pierre Auger Observatory

- 1 event found, compatible with background, in Jan 2004 Dec 2018
- Dismissing a shower origin of ANITA anomalous events that remain mysterious...



## Role of topography at Auger site

Length in rock (km) vs direction for observers at different locations in the SD1500



- Topography affects both Earth-Skiming (90° 95°) & downward-going (88° – 90°) channels
- Topography contributes (roughly) 17% to the TOTAL neutrino event rate (assuming an E<sup>-2</sup> flux)



#### Constraints on sources of UHECR from Auger UHEv limits

Constraints on source evolution: sources evolving as  $(1+z)^m$  up to  $z_{max}$ 

Constraints on proton fraction  $F_p$ 



C. Petrucci for Auger PoS(ICRC2023)1520

#### Stability of the SD1500 array of the Pierre Auger Observatory





Pierre Auger JCAP **11** (2019) 004

## Upper limits to steady point-like sources of UHE neutrinos



Limits complementary to those of IceCube and ANTARES

Pierre Auger JCAP **11** (2019) 004

#### Background Monte Carlo event



Monte Carlo event  $\theta$ =83° reconstructed as downward- and upward-going

Reconstruction favors downward-going  $L_{down} > L_{up} => \ell = 0$